

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/829,434	Applicant(s) FAIRLIE ET AL.	
	Examiner Arlen Soderquist	Art Unit 1743	

All Participants:

 (1) Arlen Soderquist.

 (2) Edward J. Stemberger.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 6 March 2007
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Left a message with applicant's representative indicating that the prosecution would be reopened and a new office action mailed.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)